MEMORANDUM OPINION

(Bench Opinion)

April 25, 2006

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE NORTHERN DIVISION

IN RE:

:

LINDA FAYE CARTER : Case No. 05-31128

d/b/a ALPINE HIDEAWAY RV : Chapter 7

:

Debtor :

BEFORE THE HONORABLE RICHARD STAIR, JR. UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE DEBTOR:

Linda Faye Carter, *Pro Se* 458 Troy Drive Pigeon Forge, Tennessee 37863

FOR WILLIAM T. HENDON, TRUSTEE:

DAVID H. JONES, ESQ. Post Office Box 50034 Knoxville, Tennessee 37950

FOR INDYMAC BANK, FSB:

TIMOTHY F. FROST, ESQ. 109 Northshore Drive, Suite 302 Knoxville, Tennessee 37919

APPEARANCES (Continued):

FOR JOSEPH AND BOBBIE KING:

THOMAS LYNN TARPY, ESQ. Suite 2100, Riverview Tower 900 South Gay Street Knoxville, Tennessee 37902

FOR THE UNITED STATES TRUSTEE:

PATRICIA C. FOSTER, ESQ. BECKY H. HALSEY, ESQ. Howard H. Baker, Jr. United States Courthouse 800 Market Street, Suite 114 Knoxville, Tennessee 37902

FOR MICHAEL CARTER MORE PERFECT WORLD CHURCH MINISTRIES:

Michael Carter, *Pro Se*251 Spring Valley Road
Pigeon Forge, Tennessee 37863

THE COURT: There are three motions that I have before me this afternoon, all of which were filed yesterday, that were noticed for hearing orally by the courtroom deputy late yesterday and we were able to get everyone here and I have endeavored to give all parties an opportunity to speak their piece. They are, to a large extent, legal issues rather than fact specific and, for that reason, I do have a record and take judicial notice of all matters that are of record in the Debtor's case file pursuant to Rule 201 of the Federal Rules of Evidence.

I am going to deal with the Motion to Reconsider Order to Convert This

Case From Chapter 11 to Chapter 7 filed by the Debtor, Ms. Carter, separately, and then

I will deal with the two emergency motions to stay the Thursday sale of the real estate.

The Motion to Reconsider, which is what I will refer to it as, as I have indicated, was filed by Ms. Carter, pro se. I have excused on my own motion her attorney, John Fowler, from further representation based not upon matters that were stated in the Motion to Reconsider but that were set forth in the ex parte emergency motion she filed. I find those matters to be considerably derogatory and, to a great extent, defamatory and I determined that Mr. Fowler could not continue to represent Ms. Carter given the context and language of those motions.

There is, in fact, no such motion known as "motion to reconsider" under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure. Instead, a motion to reconsider will be deemed by the court to be a motion to alter or amend a judgment filed pursuant to Rule 59(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, made applicable to bankruptcy cases pursuant to Rule 9023 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure. *See Huff v. Metropolitan Life Ins. Co.*, 675 F.2d 119, 122 (6th Cir. 1982). Pursuant to Rule 59(e), a motion to alter or amend judgment "shall be filed no later than 10 days after entry of the judgment."

As I said, all facts essential to the disposition of the Motion to Reconsider are of record and I have taken judicial notice of the same. These facts are as follows. The Debtor filed the Voluntary Petition commencing her bankruptcy case under Chapter 11 on March 1, 2005. On August 18, 2005, the United States Trustee filed a Motion of United States Trustee to Dismiss or Convert. On the same date, two of the Debtor's secured creditors, Joseph D. King and Bobbie Rae King, filed a Motion by Joseph D. King and Bobbie Ray King to Convert to Chapter 7, Dismiss, or Appoint a Trustee. By these motions, both the United States Trustee and Mr. and Ms. King sought an order converting the Debtor's Chapter 11 case to Chapter 7. As alternative relief, Mr. and Ms. King sought dismissal or, if the court determined that the case would remain in Chapter 11, the appointment of a Chapter 11 trustee.

I conducted an evidentiary hearing on these motions on October 4, 2005,

I conducted an evidentiary hearing on these motions on October 4, 2005, together with an automatic stay motion filed by Mr. and Ms. King shortly after the bankruptcy case was filed. I believe the automatic stay motion was filed on March 10, 2005. It is not a subject of the current motion, except peripherally, so I will not address it further. At any rate, at the October 4, 2005 hearing on the conversion/dismissal motions, Ms. Carter was represented by her attorney, Mr. Fowler, and I heard testimony from Ms. Carter and twelve exhibits were introduced into evidence. I considered the arguments of counsel. At the close of the evidence, I dictated a memorandum opinion from the bench containing findings of fact and conclusions of law and granted the United States Trustee and King motions to the extent conversion to Chapter 7 was requested.

On October 5, 2005, I entered an order converting the Debtor's Chapter 11 case to Chapter 7. Thereafter, I believe it was on October 5, 2005, William T. Hendon was appointed trustee of the Chapter 7 estate. A creditors' meeting was noticed for

- hearing pursuant to Section 341(a) of the Bankruptcy Code for November 8, 2005.
- 2 That meeting was rescheduled two or three times and was finally held on December 1,
- 3 2005. Mr. Hendon has continued to administer the estate and on February 10, 2006,
- 4 Ms. Carter, the Debtor, was granted her discharge pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 727.
- 5 On April 24, 2006, yesterday, some five and a half months after the
- October 5, 2005 Order was entered converting the Chapter 11 case to Chapter 7,
- 7 Ms. Carter filed the present Motion to Reconsider requesting, in effect, that the
- 8 October 5, 2005 Conversion Order be vacated and that she be allowed to proceed under
- 9 Chapter 11. Orders converting a case from Chapter 11 to Chapter 7 are final orders that
- are "immediately appealable." Fraidlin v. Weitzman (In re Fraidlin), No. 95-2922,
- 11 1997 U.S. App. LEXIS 6265, at *4 (4th Cir. Apr. 3, 1997); see also Kershaw v. Behm,
- 12 819 F.2d 1142 (6th Cir.1987); and Chu v. Syntron Bioresearch, Inc. (In re Chu), 253
- B.R. 92, 93 (S.D. Cal. 2000); Halvajian v. The Bank of New York (In re Halvajian),
- 14 216 B.R. 502, 509-510 (D.N.J. 1998) (with analysis of differences between liquidation
- and reorganization).
- 16 Under Rule 8002(a) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, the
- appeal of the October 5, 2005 Order converting the Debtor's case from Chapter 11 to
- 18 Chapter 7 required the filing of a notice of appeal within ten days, that is, by
- October 15, 2005. Because that day fell on a Saturday, the appeal time would have
- been extended to October 17, 2005, provided, however, that under Rule 8002(b) a
- 21 motion to alter or amend the judgment filed within the ten-day appeal time would have
- tolled the running of the appeal time until disposition of the motion to alter or amend.
- 23 Such a motion was not filed until the Debtor filed the Motion to Reconsider on
- 24 April 24, 2006.
- 25 Here, as I have noted, the time for filing a notice of appeal of the October 5.

1	2005 Order converting the case to Chapter 7 expired, at the latest, on October 17, 2005.
2	The Motion to Reconsider filed on April 24, 2006, is clearly untimely and will be
3	denied.
4	This Memorandum constitutes findings of fact and conclusions of law as
5	required by FED. R. CIV. P. 52(a). I will not ask the court reporter to transcribe my
6	opinion. If it is transcribed, an original only will be prepared and delivered to me for
7	such additions and corrections as I deem appropriate. An order commensurate with my
8	opinion will be entered in due course.
9	FILED: May 22, 2006
10	
11	/s/ RICHARD STAIR, JR.
12	RICHARD STAIR, JR. U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
2324	
25	
43	



SO ORDERED.

SIGNED this 26 day of April, 2006.

THIS ORDER HAS BEEN ENTERED ON THE DOCKET. PLEASE SEE DOCKET FOR ENTRY DATE.

Richard Stair Jr.
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE

In re

Case No. 05-31128

LINDA FAYE CARTER d/b/a ALPINE HIDEAWAY RV

Debtor

ORDER

This contested matter came on for hearing on April 25, 2006, on the Motion to Reconsider Order to Covert [sic] This Case From Chapter 11 to Chapter 7 filed by the Debtor, Linda Faye Carter, *pro se*, on April 24, 2006, requesting that the court reconsider the October 5, 2005 Order converting her Chapter 11 case to Chapter 7. For the reasons stated in the memorandum dictated from the bench, the court, having deemed the Motion as a motion to alter or amend judgment filed pursuant to Rule 59(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, made applicable to bankruptcy cases by Rule 9023 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, directs that the Debtor's Motion to Reconsider Order to Covert [sic] This Case From Chapter 11 to Chapter 7 is DENIED.